


Q1 Do you own or walk a dog?


Answered: 144 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	90.97%	131
No	9.03%	13
TOTAL		144

Q2 Please rank the most important element of a dog park to you in terms of access and travel.


Answered: 135 Skipped: 12



	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	SCORE
It is located within a 15 minute walk of my home	48.82% 62	26.77% 34	18.90% 24	3.15% 4	2.36% 3	127	4.17
It is located within a 5 minute drive of my home	16.28% 21	37.21% 48	27.13% 35	13.18% 17	6.20% 8	129	3.44
It has ample parking provision	9.09% 12	10.61% 14	32.58% 43	34.85% 46	12.88% 17	132	2.68
I don't have to cross a major Highway for access	26.56% 34	17.97% 23	13.28% 17	27.34% 35	14.84% 19	128	3.14
It has to be located beside other services or amenities	2.34% 3	7.03% 9	7.81% 10	18.75% 24	64.06% 82	128	1.65

Q3 If a Dog Park was located in Luther Park (link):


Answered: 143 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I would not use it.	34.97%	50
I would use it once a month or less.	23.08%	33
I would use it once per week.	18.18%	26
I would use it more than once per week.	23.78%	34
TOTAL		143

Q4 If a Dog Park was located in Westview (Bare land on the Water Treatment Plant property) :


Answered: 143 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I would use it more than once per week.	47.55%	68
I would use it once per week.	21.68%	31
I would use it once a month or less.	8.39%	12
I would not use it.	22.38%	32
TOTAL		143

Q5 If your favoured site option for a Dog Park cost considerably more money to landscape and fence than the other option, would you still want to see that site chosen by Council?


Answered: 144 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	38.89%	56
No	35.42%	51
Unsure	25.69%	37
TOTAL		144

Q6 Would you want to see a Dog Park regulated so that Peace Officers could deal with specific animal control or anti-social behaviours at the park and issue tickets/ fines?

Answered: 144 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	39.58%	57
No	20.14%	29
I'd need more information/ unsure.	40.28%	58
TOTAL		144

Q7 If you consider one or both locations for the Dog Park unsuitable, we'd like you to describe the reasons why you believe one or both options to be unsuitable. If the only reason is that you favour another site not discussed in this survey, please leave this answer blank and move on to question 8.

Answered: 27 Skipped: 120

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The Luther Park site could cause a lot of conflict with the campers in the campground either by stirring up pets in the campground or by having prevailing winds carrying the odors of dog wastes to The campground as well as the golf course	1/9/2020 12:26 PM
2	Westview area would be temporary if town expands useage of that area. Not worth it. Luther better, away from homes and less disturbance to residents. The City of Red Deer would be a good model for a dog park. They are self regulated, a fee is charged for maintenance, fines if dogs are not picked up after or not controlled (membership of persons for park report abuse of use). Worried about dog owners who do not control their dogs.	1/9/2020 10:06 AM
3	Just wondering why you would landscape a dog park. Fencing I understand, but not landscaping. I've never seen a landscaped dog park.	1/8/2020 8:51 AM
4	Luther park is across both highways, how would kids take their dogs to the park?	1/7/2020 8:44 PM
5	Prefer Luther Park location	1/7/2020 5:03 PM
6	That the people who own dogs are responsible to walk them in their own desired spaces. Not a community expense . No funds from our taxes	1/6/2020 6:27 PM
7	Luther Park is to close to the highway. And I would walk my dog to the dog park, walking with kids and a dog, crossing 2 highways is not an option, therefore I would not drive to or use this location.	1/5/2020 1:04 PM
8	Luther park is across the hwy and there is nothing down there. I will.not walk my kids there to walk my dog. We need more stuff up in westview.	1/3/2020 9:40 PM
9	With costs going up why is the town looking to increase costs????? This is not an essential service. It does not benefit the majority of residents. Therefore I do not support this initiative at all..	1/3/2020 3:03 PM
10	I believe it is dangerous for people to walk across highway 2 particularly in the summer months	1/2/2020 1:46 PM
11	I believe Luther Park is too far from the walking path where many residents already walk their dogs and would cause a lot of issues with northbound traffic. Nanton is a small town and I will not drive my dog to an off leash park (I use every opportunity to lower my carbon footprint).	12/31/2019 3:36 PM
12	My answer to the suitability of the locations is not a comment on the sites themselves, but more of a comment about my dogs. I'm not certain I would use the sites because of my temperament of at least one of my dogs - this is *not* a reflection on the need for a dog park. This dog is older, and thus it will be necessary to re-think my use of a dog park when his time to go across the rainbow bridge happens.	12/30/2019 5:34 PM
13	Does Nanton really need a dog park	12/30/2019 5:09 PM
14	As a walker without a dog who has been bitten twice by off leash dogs in the town of nanton I am not in favour of using Luther Park as an off leash area. The walking path goes through that park and I would no longer go through that area as I am now afraid of dogs and they know it. Dog owners do not understand that fear.	12/30/2019 8:38 AM
15	While Luther Park would be the more beautiful option, most residents would opt not to cross over the hwy to use the park. I believe it would get its greatest use by placing it on the side where residents live.	12/29/2019 12:42 PM
16	The Westview option would be ideal . Lots of space, no nearby neighbors to complain. The Luther park has tourists stopping by quite a bit. Off leash dogs will be a problem for people with little kids, allergies, and picnics.	12/28/2019 11:30 AM

17	Luther parks is too far away	12/23/2019 12:31 PM
18	I don't believe the Peace Officers would take the time often enough to ensure people were cleaning up after their dogs and ensuring that all dogs in attendance there were not aggressive towards other dogs and people especially since those 2 things on their own could end up being a 24 hour job. Yes, people should be able to take care of this on their own but if they can't figure it out in Calgary, Okotoks or High River then they can't figure it out here either. Dogs are being hurt by other dogs and then the fighting escalates to the point of a dog owner being bit. Peace Officers don't do anything at Boomer Hill so why would they take the time there. Why begin with a dog park? Why not start with a proper leash law and see if the residents can handle that.	12/21/2019 6:03 PM
19	By the golfcourse/campground invites more outside use. It also invites more unsubstantiated or opinionated complaints from golfers and campers. It just feels like that location is opening the proverbial can of worms.	12/21/2019 6:32 AM
20	I honestly have no preference - as long as a dog park is in town, I'm happy.	12/21/2019 12:36 AM
21	I would like to see it in Westview as I think more people would use it as they don't have to cross the highway.	12/20/2019 9:55 PM
22	I do not own a dog.	12/20/2019 7:42 PM
23	Luther park is right beside the highway so it would be very noisy, plus it looks like the trees are being removed. Not pleasant.	12/20/2019 4:22 PM
24	Who is responsible for making sure dog owner clean up after thier dogs and what about aggressive dog behaviour. My taxes are high enough in this town I can leash walk my dog on walking paths without taxes going up	12/20/2019 1:02 PM
25	Luther park is too far and crossing a double highway is too much	12/20/2019 1:02 PM
26	Lunther Park location may justify improving cross-walk at highway. Most important criteria for us is that it is fully fenced with an in/out vestibule like at the Bishop off-leash park in High River. I currently drive my dog to High River to play at the dog park more than once a week so either of the proposed locations would be more convenient.	12/20/2019 1:00 PM
27	With taxes and water rates rising i cant support this. This town needs to stop spending. Please. People can drive 5 minutes to a field. We don't need this, this is a want. Seriously.	12/20/2019 12:04 PM

Q8 If you believe another location to be more suitable, please identify it here, limiting your location suggestions to bare public/institutional land owned by the Town of Nanton.


Answered: 29 Skipped: 118

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I believe our tax money should be spent on the functionality of the town and not on recreation. Their is a dog park 15 minutes away in high river.	1/8/2020 11:28 AM
2	Has anyone thought about the triangular field at the corner of 19th St. and 26th Ave.	1/7/2020 8:44 PM
3	Should not be a priority. The rest of Nanton should be useable before increasing taxes to accommodate a small amount of people . High river is 15 mins away , use that one and spend our tax money on maybe the sidewalks and roads so people can safely enjoy our town without falling of old broke curbs or sinking into pot holes.	1/7/2020 7:08 PM
4	Boomers hill	1/7/2020 5:03 PM
5	None	1/6/2020 6:27 PM
6	I would like to see the off leash area at the Lions park where the wet area is at the entrance way, Half the year you cannot drive on it anyways. Use the vacant lot beside the picnic building for a turn around and parking. People traveling through can use it and keep the rest of the park clean	1/6/2020 12:29 PM
7	the bare land located at 20th St. & 30th Ave., where everyone takes their dog to run and play is a better location & your 'map' for 29th Ave. & 23 St. is no longer bare land. There are houses built there. Where did you mean to show the location?	1/5/2020 3:24 PM
8	Any where in westview would be a good option	1/5/2020 1:04 PM
9	With costs going up why is the town looking to increase costs????? This is not an essential service. It does not benefit the majority of residents. Therefore I do not support this initiative at all..	1/3/2020 3:03 PM
10	West of trailer parks on westview	1/2/2020 11:02 AM
11	We as a community do not need extra costs We all are paying far too much tax now and anymore tax burden The peace officers need to focus on jake brake trucks noise , fireworks being set off . And speeders	1/1/2020 1:35 PM
12	I am not 100% certain where these places may be - is this marked out in a map of the town?	12/30/2019 5:34 PM
13	By the the ag. grounds maybe. But still not sure we need the expense of a dog park when we can't even sustane our recycling depot.	12/30/2019 5:09 PM
14	A spot to consider is the field behind (west) of the row of houses on the ave. Where the foxes den. It would be easier to maintain, lots of street parking. The concern would be the foxes and the neighbors though.	12/28/2019 11:30 AM
15	The corner of land with the slough and hill in the NW corner of the intersection between SB HWY 2 and 520. Do not include the walking path in the dog park area so people can still enjoy the path. Water access, close to most, lots of space to run and walk.	12/24/2019 11:13 AM
16	By the campground	12/23/2019 9:47 PM
17	With plans to expand the Bomber Museum and relocation of ball diamonds could we consider waiting a bit and having the park on the remaining land? This would also give nice access to people travelling with their pets to stop and give them a place to run. Plus it is close to me...	12/23/2019 8:33 PM
18	No	12/21/2019 6:03 PM
19	Dirt dump site between recycle and golf coarse	12/21/2019 1:00 AM

20	I'm not sure who owns the land but many people already walk their dogs off leash behind the Baptist church where the tower is- 26 ave and 22nd street.	12/21/2019 12:36 AM
21	I would like to see an off leash park in a more central and more easily accessible area like the ball diamonds or the field of weeds around the cell tower behind JT Foster.	12/20/2019 6:18 PM
22	Telus tower site	12/20/2019 5:08 PM
23	Site 51 R1, if rezoned. South East corner at Hwy 2 South and Hwy 533. Love the views and the trees.	12/20/2019 4:38 PM
24	What about the piece of land directly south of the former sun prairie mills flour mill building?	12/20/2019 4:22 PM
25	Lions park. Makes sense. People use it anyways. Theres not too much foot traffic. One side or the other of the pathway could be isolated for low cost.	12/20/2019 2:32 PM
26	I think a space more central would benefit more residents such as the Vacant lot beside the baptist Church. The other two suggested locations are far and driving defeats the purpose of walking your dog	12/20/2019 1:50 PM
27	In these economic times I think we need restraint from cosmetic programs	12/20/2019 1:02 PM
28	Any field is fine.	12/20/2019 12:04 PM
29	Westview dry pond.	12/19/2019 4:34 PM

Q9 I am a resident of:

Answered: 145 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Town of Nanton	94.48%	137
MD Willow Creek	4.83%	7
MD Foothills	0.00%	0
MD Ranchland	0.00%	0
Other	0.69%	1
TOTAL		145